

**HKE<sub>x</sub> LISTING DECISION**  
**HKE<sub>x</sub>-LD59-2013 (published in April 2013)**

<b>Summary</b>	
<b>Parties</b>	Company A – a Main Board listed issuer  Mr. X – Company A’s executive director and substantial shareholder
<b>Issue</b>	Whether the Exchange would waive Rule 14.06(6)(a) so that Company A’s proposed acquisition of certain assets from Mr. X would be classified as a very substantial acquisition rather than a reverse takeover
<b>Listing Rules</b>	Main Board Rule 14.06(6)(a)
<b>Decision</b>	The Exchange granted the waiver to Company A

**FACTS**

1. Company A was principally engaged in the development and sale of electronic gaming systems.
2. It proposed to acquire from Mr. X a number of patents in an overseas market (the **Patents**) in relation to certain technological know-how applied in a computerized betting terminal system (the **System**). The consideration for the acquisition would include cash and consideration shares to be issued by Company A to Mr. X.
3. The acquisition was a very substantial acquisition based on the asset ratio of about 150% and the consideration ratio of about 300%. It was also a connected transaction as Mr. X was a director and substantial shareholder of Company A.
4. Mr. X had been the single largest shareholder of Company A for some years. As he would hold more than 30% of Company A’s enlarged issued share capital following the acquisition, he would apply for a whitewash waiver under the Takeovers Code so that no mandatory general offer would need to be made.
5. As the acquisition was a very substantial acquisition and Mr. X would become a controlling shareholder of Company A as a result of the acquisition, it would be a reverse takeover under Rule 14.06(6)(a).

6. Company A sought a waiver from Rule 14.06(6)(a) because:
- the reason for acquiring the Patents was to expand its existing gaming business to the overseas market. It had engaged in the gaming business for some years and used similar patents for the sale of the System in the local market;
  - the existing business had a substantive scale of operation and was making profits. The acquisition was not significantly larger than Company A.
7. Company A submitted that it would enhance the disclosure in its circular for the acquisition to a standard comparable to an IPO prospectus. The circular would also contain details of Company A's due diligence work on the Patents and a valuation report on them.

### **APPLICABLE LISTING RULES**

8. Rule 14.06(6) defines a "reverse takeover" as:

"an acquisition or a series of acquisitions of assets by an issuer which, in the opinion of the Exchange, constitutes, or is part of a transaction or arrangement or series of transactions or arrangements which constitute, an attempt to achieve a listing of the assets to be acquired and a means to circumvent the requirements for new applicants set out in Chapter 8 of the Exchange Listing Rules. A "reverse takeover" normally refers to:

- (a) an acquisition or a series of acquisitions (aggregated under rules 14.22 and 14.23) of assets constituting a very substantial acquisition where there is or which will result in a change in control (as defined in the Takeovers Code) of the listed issuer (other than at the level of its subsidiaries);  
or
- (b) acquisition(s) of assets from a person or a group of persons or any of his/their associates pursuant to an agreement, arrangement or understanding entered into by the listed issuer within 24 months of such person or group of persons gaining control (as defined in the Takeovers Code) of the listed issuer (other than at the level of its subsidiaries), where such gaining of control had not been regarded as a reverse takeover, which individually or together constitute(s) a very substantial acquisition. ..."

## **ANALYSIS**

9. Rule 14.06(6) seeks to prevent circumvention of the new listing requirements. Its introductory paragraph defines “reverse takeover” as an acquisition or a series of acquisitions which represents, in the Exchange’s opinion, an attempt to list the assets to be acquired and circumvent the new listing requirements.
10. In addition, paragraphs (a) and (b) of Rule 14.06(6) refer to two specific forms of reverse takeovers which involve a change in control of the issuer and injection of significant assets into it. They are bright line tests to determine a change in control based on the Takeovers Code and to assess an asset injection based on size tests.
11. Here the acquisition was a reverse takeover under Rule 14.06(6)(a). When assessing the waiver application, the Exchange was satisfied that the Patents were related to Company A’s existing principal business, and would enable an expansion of the business in an overseas market. Circumvention of the new listing requirements was not a material concern in this case.

## **CONCLUSION**

12. The Exchange agreed to waive Rule 14.06(6)(a). The acquisition was classified as a very substantial acquisition and connected transaction.